**Protocol options for the 2021 snow crab survey:**

**Context:**

The 2019 snow crab survey vessel change was accompanied by significant increases of 30-40% among male and mature female snow crab from 35mm to 95 mm CW. The scale and size range of these increases effectively rules out natural processes (i.e. recruitment, migration or low mortality) as a cause, implying rather an increase in survey catchability. Investigations suggest that an increase in the duration of latent bottom trawling phase during hauling of the net, referred to as the passive trawling phase, explains these catch increases, though only partially. Protocol changes brought in during the 2020 survey largely failed to solve these issues. The impact of these catchability increases among legal-sized crab are unknown and have not been characterized, raising concerns of over-estimation of abundance and biomass indices for 2019 and 2020. Consequently, the recent survey time series for 2019 and 2020 does not seem to be on the same scale as that of 2017-2018.

**Approach:**

Currently, survey crab catches are standardized using swept area estimates from the active trawling phase, with passive phase trawling currently being ignored in the standardization. On its face, this approach implies inflation of the resulting abundance and biomass estimates. Also problematic is that passive phase trawling has been shown to vary both regionally and annually, leading to probable differences in catchability.

A naïve approach might be to eliminate the passive trawling phase altogether. However, this approach is problematic. Firstly, passive phase trawling has likely existed throughout the history of the snow crab survey, though it may have varied in scale regionally and/or from year-to-year. Thus, there is not only a need to control the extent of passive phase trawling in future surveys, but also to retroactively estimate the scale of passive phase trawling in order to balance out its influence. Secondly, there are a number of unquantified factors which are known to reduce survey catches, but which are presently uncontrolled and unmonitored. Examples of such factors relate to the variability in the contact of the trawl footrope, due to variations in bottom type, accumulation of debris at the mouth of the trawl, asymmetry of the trawl wings under strong benthic currents, and strong sea conditions.

Given these points, a first goal is to identify factors that are known both to significantly influence survey catches, as well as vary regionally or from year-to-year. Once these have been identified, such factors are to be either controlled via suitable adjustments to the survey protocol, or characterized and quantified such that their influence can be accounted for in the standardization of survey catches. A second goal, no less important, is to situate current survey catches (2019 and 2020) relative to the entire survey time series from 1997 to 2018, as well as reconstructing the population dynamics of commercial-sized crab, so that its apparent stability over 2018 to 2020 can be placed into historical context. Attaining this goal will rely on improved knowledge of trawl behavior during the passive phase, identification of other major factors affecting trawl catchability, in combination with the development of a population dynamics model within which to incorporate this new information.

**2021 Survey season goals:**

* Characterize behavior of the trawl during the passive trawling phase. This includes, but is not limited to characterizing, under various conditions:
  + contact of the footrope along its full length,
  + contact of the trawl doors,
  + trawl speed and trawl width.
  + catchability of the trawl
* Characterize the impact varying the extent of the passive trawling phase on catches.
* Stabilize and reduce the impact of passive phase trawling to a reasonable level, to levels consistent with those from the historical survey time series.
* Evaluate the bias associated with having relocated stations since 2013.

**Methods (proposed thus far):**

**Table :** Summary of different measurement variables proposed ahead of the 2021 snow crab survey season to address current survey catchability issues, along with a description of each, their intended purpose and frequency of observation.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Data variable** | **Description** | **Purpose** | **Data source** | **Frequency** |
| Footrope contact | Indirectly or directly measure contact of the trawl footrope under various conditions, especially during the passive trawling phase. | Check whether footrope contact varies during the active passive trawling phase and how these differ. | Star Oddi tilt-depth probe | Small number of tows |
| Door contact | Measure how contact of the trawl doors vary during passive phase trawling. | Establish the relationship between contact of the trawl doors and contact of the trawl footrope. | Star Oddi tilt-depth probe | Infrequent random monitoring of survey |
| Trawl-vessel distance | Measure the distance between the survey vessel. | Validate indirect trawl positioning methods. | Trawl acoustic probe | Small number of tows |
| Winch speed and/or cable tension. | Measure how winch speed and/or cable tension varies during the passive trawling phase. | Improve prediction of the trawl position relative to the survey vessel in 2021, as well as in previous survey years. |  | Small number of tows |
| Video monitoring or 3D sonar imaging probe. | Attach video and lighting equipment, or a 3D snow imaging probe to monitor the behavior of the survey trawl. | Improve knowledge of trawl dynamics during trawling, especially under different bottom types and the passive trawling phase. | e.g. GoPro | Special experiment at the beginning of the survey. |
| Trawl symmetry | Measure whether the trawl configuration is symmetrical (i.e. equal) on either wide. | Check whether the mouth or wings of the trawl is skewed relative to the survey vessel. |  | Regular monitoring during the survey |
| Fixed stations | Sample a subset from the original set of 2013 random sampling stations | Check whether survey indices are biased due to relocation of survey sampling stations |  | 50-100 survey stations |
| Double-trawl experiment | Re-analyze data from a double-trawl experiment performed in 2002, featuring a trawl-within-a-trawl design. | Directly estimate size-dependent catchability using the 2002 experiment data, used to possibly standardize catches relative to size or to validate population model size-based catchability estimates. | 2002 experiment | n/a |